Message

From: Henry, Tala [Henry.Tala@epa.gov]

Sent: 2/1/2019 5:43:10 PM

To: Beck, Nancy [Beck.Nancy@epa.gov]

Subject: FW: PFAS Management Plan Response to OMB comments

Attachments: PFAS Management Plan_OW_Prelim Resoponses to OMBComments 12919.docx; PFAS Management Plan

DOD_NASA_NIH Comments_OW_1_29_19docx.docx

Tala R. Henry, Ph.D.
Acting Deputy Director
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

T: 202-564-2959 E: henry.tala@epa.gov

From: Burneson, Eric

Sent: Wednesday, January 30, 2019 10:16 AM

To: Mclain, Jennifer < Mclain. Jennifer@epa.gov>; Albert, Ryan < Albert. Ryan@epa.gov>; Foster, Stiven

<Foster.Stiven@epa.gov>; Gillespie, Andrew <Gillespie.Andrew@epa.gov>; Freed, Elisabeth

< Freed. Elisabeth@epa.gov>; Hanselman, Erik < hanselman.erik@epa.gov>; Wehling, Carrie < Wehling. Carrie@epa.gov>; Wehling, Carrie@epa.gov>; Wehl

Starfield, Lawrence <Starfield.Lawrence@epa.gov>; Nickerson, William <Nickerson.William@epa.gov>; Behl, Betsy

<Behl.Betsy@epa.gov>; Tiago, Joseph <Tiago.Joseph@epa.gov>; Henry, Tala <Henry.Tala@epa.gov>; Wadlington,

Christina < Wadlington. Christina@epa.gov>; Clark, Becki < Clark. Becki@epa.gov>; Bertrand, Charlotte

<Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>

Cc: Raffaele, Kathleen <raffaele.kathleen@epa.gov>; Dalzell, Sally <Dalzell.Sally@epa.gov>; Azad, Ava <Azad.Ava@epa.gov>; Leff, Karin <Leff.Karin@epa.gov>; Mackey, Cyndy <Mackey.Cyndy@epa.gov>; Bailey, Ethel <Bailey.Ethel@epa.gov>; Loving, Shanita <Loving.Shanita@epa.gov>; Lan, Alexis <lan.alexis@epa.gov>; Gardenier, George <Gardenier.George@epa.gov>

Subject: PFAS Management Plan Response to OMB comments

Colleagues: Attached per our conversation yesterday are electronic copies of the PFAS Management Plan (proposed to be retitled as an Action Plan) and the table of comments from Inter Agency Review. Per our conversation yesterday we have taken the first pass at addressing comments regarding the overall tone and direction of the plan and we have identified the program specific issues that require your input prior to responding to OMB. Please review the attached materials and provide your recommended responses by COB Thursday (1/31).

For the plan itself with OMB track changes, and accepted DOD, USDA, and other agency edits inserted from other documents:

<u>We recommend that all program offices review the comments in Table 1.</u> This is where we see the biggest impacts in suggested OMB language changes.

For the remainder of the document, while each program office is welcome to review the full document, we particularly recommend their review of the following:

- OECA: Page 2, Table 1 (all, but especially page 5, 7). Page 8, 11/12, 15, 16, 28, 29, 30, Appendix A
- OST: Page 2, Table 1 (all), Introduction, page 8, 13, 15, 30, 36, Appendix A
- ORD: Table 1 (all, but especially page 5), page 10, new/validated lab methods, 31 35, Appendix A

- OLEM, Page 2 Table 1 (all, but especially page 3, 5, 6, 7); Page 15, 16, 30, 31, Appendix A
- OGWDW: Table 1, Page 4, 6, 8, 12, 17, 21, 22, 26, 29, 36, 47, 56 Appendix A, page 59
- OPPT: Table 1 (all), introduction page 8, 13, 15, 17, 47, 48, Appendix A

Please provide any suggested text changes or responses in the comment bubbles

For the comment table with the other Agency comments, we have flagged several rows where we would ask other offices to develop responses and suggest changes to the plan itself if they deem appropriate. These are:

- OECA Row #'s: 17, 29, 33 (share with ORD), 47
- ORD Row #'s: 23, 33 (share with OECA), 35, 39, 40 (share with OST), 49, 58, 60 (Share with OST), 61, 85, 86, 88, 103, 110-111 (share with OST), 112, 113 (share with OST), 114, 119, 120
- OST Row #'s: 40 (share with ORD), 57, 60 (share with ORD), 61, 62, 63, 87, 97, 103, 110-111 (share with ORD), 113 (share with OST), 115, 130, 132
- OPPT Row #'s: 65, 70-73, 76-82,84
- OLEM Row #'s: 90, 137

As with the plan itself, we would be grateful for review and responses on the specific areas highlighted, but also welcome contributions on other sections (including the few we haven't yet answered or directed to a different office).

Regarding what the colors in the table mean:

- Green = comments addressed, acknowledged and, where applicable, new language is inserted into the main document
- Yellow = flagged comments for Program Office feedback (see below)
- Orange = "rejected" comments; mainly those that suggest major shifts in tone or major revisions to the text
- Blank = minor comments for follow-up in the next two days while other program offices are reviewing.

Please provide your suggested response to the comments in the EPA proposed response and if appropriate please insert and recommended revisions to the PFAS plan document.

Thanks to all for your timely attention to this important priority

Eric Burneson, P.E.
Director of Standards and Risk Management
Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
202 564 5250